(8) C. Rama Rao, Pleader, Kasaragod.
(9) } Illegible.
(10) }
(11) M. Panduranga Rao, Hosdrug.
(12) V. V. Kamath, Insurance Agent, Kunhangad.
(13) Illegible.
(14) K. Madhava Naik, Insurance Agent, Kunhangad.
(15) H. Janardhan Kamath, Kunhangad.
(16) Illegible, merchants, Hosdrug.
(17) K. Keshav Pai, Merchant, Kasaragod.
(18) U. Miltal Bhandari, Merchant, Hosdrug.
(19) A. Vittal Kamath, son of H. Raghunath Kamath.
(20) K. Vamana, Upendra, Shenoy, Merchant, Kunhangad.
(21) Illegible.
(22) K. Sreedhara Srinivasa Shenoy, Merchant, Kunhangad.
(23) K. Madhava Srinivas Kamath, Katcheri.
(24) H. Sitharama Maiya, Vakil, Kasaragod.
(25) Illegible, Vakil, Kasaragod.

G. T. H. BRACKEN,
Chief Secretary.

To the Legislative Council Office.

APPENDIX XXIX.

[*Vide* item X—Papers laid on the Table of the House—page 101 *supra*.]

PUBLIC (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

U.O. Note No. 10783-2, dated the 20th June 1932.

With reference to the answer to the Legislative Council Question No. 1259 given on 24th March 1932, the following further information is placed on the Table of the House:—

1259 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that on the 16th January 1932, the Subdivisional Magistrate, Gudivada, sent for one Mikkilaneni Akkayya of Pedamaddali and assaulted him with lathi for celebrating the flag day on the 14th January 1932?

A.—The allegation that the Subdivisional Magistrate sent for one Mikkilaneni Akkayya of Pedamaddali on 16th January 1932 and assaulted him with a lathi for celebrating the flag day on the 14th January 1932 is untrue. The facts are as follows:—

On the 16th January the Subdivisional Magistrate went with Reserve Police to Pedamaddali. There was some

2nd August 1932]

disturbance in connexion with the arrest of Mikkilaneni Ramakotayya, and the Police had to use their lathis. Possibly this man Akkayya was one of the crowd who was injured during the lathi charge. He is unknown to the Subdivisional Magistrate.

G. T. H. BRACKEN,
Chief Secretary.

To the Legislative Council Office.

APPENDIX XXX.

[Vide item X—Papers laid on the Table of the House—page 101 supra.]

PUBLIC (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

U.O. Note No. 10818-2, dated the 20th June 1932.

With reference to the answer to Question No. 1258 given on 24th March 1932, the following further information is placed on the Table of the House:—

* 1258 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Subdivisional Magistrate, Gudivada, went to Pamarru on the 15th January 1932 with a posse of police and sent for one Tamala Nagireddi and Painamaneni Pattabhiramayya and assaulted them with sticks and canes?

A.—The allegation that the Subdivisional Magistrate sent for one Tamala Nagireddi and Painamaneni Pattabhiramayya at Pamarru and assaulted them with sticks and canes is not correct. The facts are as follows:—

On the 15th January the Subdivisional Magistrate accompanied the Reserve Police and the Circle Inspector to Pamarru. There one Tammareddi Nagireddi and others were arranging to carry out some demonstration in furtherance of civil disobedience and, as they refused to disperse when ordered to do so, they were dispersed by a lathi charge. Tammareddi Nagireddi received some lathi blows in course of the dispersal. Possibly Painamaneni Pattabhiramayya was also beaten—the Subdivisional Magistrate does not know him.

G. T. H. BRACKEN,
Chief Secretary.

To the Legislative Council Office.